

General Meeting:	To discuss Deva Triathlon transfer to Active Leisure Ltd
Attendees:	<i>In attendance in person</i> Charlie Price chair Ian Ainscough Ina Morris Barrie Cavanagh Nic Perrins Sal Napthen Mark Taylor Tony Fisher Mike Waring Dan Robbins Jen Chambers Martin Hodder Simon Greenwood Ali Leavens <i>Zoom Online attendance</i> Jonathan Girvan - Emma Sharpe - Christine Evans Tony Dooley - Rachel Butlers - Craig Connor Jacob Waterhouse - Nigel Waterhouse - Joe Murphy - Katie Delaney Ali Hutton Elaine Stanford Ian Laird – Liz Boothroyd Therese Hynes Nigel Smith –Karen Smith - Nicholas Watkins Keith Ivens Anna Woolgar
Did not attend but emailed in favour of transfer No member emailed against the transfer	Tanya - Christine Evans - Shaun Jackson Martin Hilton Magnus Walker Dave Press Iain Wedge Ian Atkinson Chris Towers Estanis Berbegal Matt Parsons Simon Ellis Sue Ellis Susie Wood Nigel Waterhouse- Katie Delaney -Chris Smith Kristy Seddon Chris Rhodes Edward Austin Karen Harness - Derek Gibbon Ian Laird Michael Norris Claire Rowlands Dave Taylor Tony Dooley - Gemma Kelly Glyn Howes Leigh Jenkins Jean Ashley Keith Mills Janet Mills Katie Sykes
Apologies:	Chris Rhodes

Agenda

1. Charlie called meeting to order.
2. Charlie addresses the meeting with regards to issues that have been raised by a concerned member
3. Charlie updates that there has been an EGM called on the 22nd December due to concerns over the legitimacy of the Club exec.
4. Charlie updates the situation and states that Ian Ainscough, Charlie Price and Ina Morris have been co-opted into previous positions until 22/12/24, to ensure the club can continue to run and pay bills. Chris Rhodes the new secretary is new to the Exec.
5. Charlie updates that due to the current situation any contract with ALE will not be signed until after EGM on 22/12/24 - when there will be an exec in place to enable it to be ratified.
6. It was queried by 2 members (TF & MH) that they believed the MC was ratified at the AGM - confirmation was given that they were not.
- 7.

8. CP explained that the exec had consulted the membership throughout the process, and having checked the constitution and to prevent delay, decided a further member consultation, after each member had seen the agreed proposal, would be acceptable
9. Charlie discussed the concern from member that EGM on 22/12/24 is via zoom. He updated regarding that there is no case law existing to say that this cannot count as 'present'. It was the consensus in the room that people would more likely engage on zoom at this time of year. The members were happy that this represented 'members being present to vote' to ratify the executive.
10. CP explained Emma Sharpe acted as legal counsel (experienced contract lawyer) and non-exec member, throughout the process and thanked her for her extensive knowledge of contract law and advice.
11. A member (MT) queried why this needed to happen given no-one wants the MC jobs and it's hard to get anyone to do them - it is confirmed by Charlie that the concerns from the member highlight issues with liability - it is correct to proceed.
12. Charlie raises concerns from club member around the meeting this evening and it not being an EGM as discussed in AGM minutes - Charlie explains the constitution and the ability of an Exec to sell a race with no membership input - Charlie explains that this is not the right thing to do and after consulting the constitution the meeting we are in is within the constitution - an explanation of why it was moved more quickly was given (in the interest of whoever runs the event) - the members in attendance agreed this was the appropriate action.
13. Charlie discussed the agreement that has been sent out and updated (as requested by member in the Hoole room) what email feedback had been given. Charlie gave an update on this that everyone had backed the potential transfer.
14. All members present and online were encouraged to ask questions and offer their thoughts.
15. Questions were raised from members within the room and via Zoom - answers were given.
16. A point was raised if the 30 days to rectify any breach is enough time - explanation given that material breach in this case would likely be significant enough that it would be challenging to rectify - 30 days was given as a more than generous period with usual time frame of 1-2 weeks
17. Question was asked about club liability and reputation if ALE fail to run a good race - discussion was had that as a business it would likely damage them more than the club and they have an interest in making it work - risk in this sense was deemed negligible

18. Question was raised that if ALE didn't want the race any more would we get it back - answer is yes this is written into the contract
19. Question was raised (via email) if profit share was considered - answer is yes and this was not accepted by ALE - a fair negotiation on payment was discussed with some additional funding if participation increases.
20. A question was asked about replacing worn equipment - answer that some of the funds would be put aside for maintenance of equipment - any additional money coming from additional entries would also go towards this.
21. All other questions answered with satisfaction of members online and at Hoole
22. It was suggested and agreed by members in the room that they felt it acceptable to vote their thoughts on the transfer of the Deva triathlon. This was completed and voted counted.
23. Members attending on Zoom agreed and cast their vote – details taken by J Girvan and recorded.
24. An offer of any further comments from members was offered
25. Vote decision - Quorate of membership agreed with the decision to pass the event on to Active Leisure. Full list of members who voted included in the minutes.
26. 57 in favour with no-one was against the proposal.
27. Note - where a member voted by email and also attended by Zoom or in person their vote was only counted once.